



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012 AJEA 2791
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the relative weed competitiveness of some lowland rice varieties in Sierra Leone.

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the introduction-Hypothesis is not clear. Authors must mention about the varietal development regarding weed competitiveness in rice with latest references. He must clearly differentiate weed tolerance and weed suppression ability and then show what was his hypothesis regarding that. Methodology is very weak. Formulas for assessing competition must be clearly defined in M & M. Method of writing spray application is wrong. Authors must read some papers regarding that Results: are very poorly written, and there is hardly any discussion. Authors must mention about the type and extent of weed flora found in the field. It would be better to do path analysis for clear understanding. LSD values are more appropriate than the standard error . There is need of yield data and weed data to understand the things. Latest references regarding the weed competitive studies in rice are missing. Keeping these point in view, I found that manuscript is not worth for publication due to paucity of data.	Corrected corrected corrected and path analysis will be included in some investigation in due course as highlighted. Thanks for your observations. More data included and worthy of publication.



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		